Application No.: 10/732,965 (KC 18,502) Response to Office Action mailed 3-9-2006

#### REMARKS

requests reconsideration of the present Office Action. Claims 1-22 are cancelled and new claims In view of the foregoing amendment to the Claims and the following Remarks, Applicant 23-38 are presented

### 1. Rejections - 35 USC § 102

surface onto said mold, spraying a plurality of chapped fibers onto pre-selected arens that stick to the coaguiant, dipping said mold into a latex bath at least twice and drying said latex to form said anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,755,158 (Wise). Regarding claim 1, the Patent Office alleges hat Wise (158) teaches the claimed process for making a fiber reinforced elastomenic article The Putent Office rejects Claims 1, 8, 16 and 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being including, providing a mold, dipping said mold into a coagulant bath that provides a tacky clastomeric article (col. 3, lines 14-48).

PAGE 4/8 \* RCVD AT 4/13/2006 11:29:55 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/36 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:770 587 7327 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-10

and/or self-adharing to each other. As is understood by those skilled in the art, chopped fibers are present limitations, as required by all of the presently pending claims, it is not anticipatory under claimed. To be anticipatory under 35 U.S.C. §102, a patent reference must "describe" each and every element recited in the claims at hand. The Wise '158 patent neither teaches nor describes nonwoven web. Hence, the two kinds of fibers are very different. Short chopped fiber mats are significant levals of tension or shear stress. Since the Wise 158 reference does not disclose the 35 U.S.C. §102. In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant requests that the Applicant submits that the Wise '158 patent coes not anticipate the present invention as ery short compared to continuous stranded filaments. A layer or layers of such short fibers do deposition of a plurality of thermoplastic polymer filaments. The filaments are interconnected not possess the requisite clastic and integral strength properties of thermoplastic filaments in a creating in-sita a self-supporting, clastic nonwoven web on at least a portion of a mold from a neither elastic nor self-supporting, like thermoplastic webs can be, and will fall apart under rejection be with drawn

## 2. Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Fage 4 of 8

Application No.: 10/732,965 (KC 18,502)
Response to Office Action mailed 3-9-2036

The Patent Office rejects Claims 2-7, 9 and 11-2 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,755, 58 (Wise) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,811,638 B2 (Close et al.). The Parent Office alleges that it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill to spray melt-blown fibers as taught by Close'538 in the process of Wise'158 because of known advantages that melt-blow fibers provide versettle characteristics and ease of operation and because Wise'158 teaches spraying a plurality of chopped fibers, hence suggesting the tacky, melt-blown fibers of Close et al.

52 (Fed. Cir. 1986), cart. cenied, 480 U.S. 947 (1987). A person of ordinary skill would not look The Patent Office has not justified a prima face case of obviousness. In ascertaining the he teachings of a spray-on flock fibers on a glove mold as described in Wisc '158. As Applicar. Join Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 US? Q 459 (1966)), it is essential to view the claimed "subject individual subtitutions and differences between the claimed invention and the references, rather reference. Hybritech, Inc. v. Monoclonai Anribonies, Inc., 802 F.2d 1367, 1383, 231 USPQ 81, ignificant difference. A person of skill would know that one kind of fiber can not be subtituted to a reference like the Close '638 patent, which teaches a method for increasing the amount of explaired in the prior section, short chopped fibers (i.e., typically under about I mm in length) eier to natural or pulp-based fibers. Short chopped fibers are not elastic and do not form selferaction in a composite elastic traterial or Stretched Bond Laminate (SBL), to combine with and largely continuous (long) me.t-blowr. fibers are two different and distinct kinds of frimus eapporting networks without the addition of adhesives or other binders, unlike the mechanical difference between the prior art and the claims at issue (second factual inquiry of Graham v. slements. A person of ordinary skill in the art understands that short chopped fibers or flock matter as a whole," as required by §103. In re Dembriczak, 175 F.3d 594, 993, 50 USPQ2d and processing properties of extruded thermoplastic filaments, which in the pertinant art is a 1614, 1616 (Fed. Cir. 1999) In so doing, one should not focus on the obviousness of the one should focus on the abviousness of the claimed invention as a whale relative to that or the other.

Although Wise '158 caches a fiber reinforced glove (paddling glove), the chopped fibers in Wise serve a different function than in the present invention. Wise's chapped fibers anchor the adhesive on the two sides of the interior web surfaces between digits (i.e., lingers) (see, oil

Page 5 of 8

PAGE 5/8 \* RCVD AT 4/13/2006 11:29:55 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/36 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:770 587 7327 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-10

Page 6 cf 8

Application No.: 10/732,965 (KC 18,502)
Response to Office Action malled 3-9-2006

Patent Office's assertion, whether one sprays the fibers prior to dipping the mold into a coagulant situation, is bonded less tightly in the structure of the article, and hence can be further modified or ether kinds of staple fibers may be added, but they do not provide the same degree of mechanical are coated or filled with the clastomer. In otherwords, certain parts of the filaments may embed reated to provide other benefits, such as comfort or ease of donning, as one may desire. Pulp or and dipning step car, result in different morphology and other characteristics in the product. For intex has the elastomer material permeate all of the interstial space in the web. With a mold that infrastruture or backbone and fibrous reinforcement as the self-supporting, etastic themoplastic latex membrane, which safeguards the membrane from rupturing under stress. Contrary to the incividual filaments stick either to the latex or each other, but not all of the fibers or interstices The thermoplastic web that is applied after an intial latex coating is on the mold, as in the latter or after dipping does tave an affect on resuits. The particular order for executing the spraying 3, line 64 - col. 4, line 11), but does not provide structural or mechanical reinforcement to the Chemicplastic web adds inschanically reinforced strength and structural integrity to the articio. within the latex, but significant other parts of the filaments will be outside of the latex. In the instance, a mold that is covered first with an clastic thermoplastic web and then covered with example, the initial layer that first contacts the mold, in the former situation, will become the has first a latex coating and is then subsecuently sprayed with themoplastic filaments, the outer surface of the article when inverted. The integrated and completely latex-permeated case of a glove or other article that may will be stripped from the mold and inverted, for conwoven web does.

As the Patent Office concedes, although Wise '153 teaches spraying a plurality of chopied fibers, it does not teach spraying tucky melt-blown fibers. There is no showing by the Petent Office that one of ordinary skill by merely reading the Wise '153 patent would be inclined to substitute a polymer extrusion process for a short-fiber flocking process as described, without any further teaching from the references themselves of such a combination. To achieve the properties of the claimed invention, a skilled person could not reasonably think that such different kinds of materials and processes in one reference is fungible with another when the physical properties of the two are so different. Short chapped fibers do not inform the meking of an elastic article, since short fibers do not flex well. Applicant's invention claims a fibrous

PAGE 6/8 \* RCVD AT 4/13/2006 11:29:55 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/36 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:770 587 7327 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-10

ŵ

Application No.: 10/722,965 (KC 18,502)
Response to Office Action mailed 3-9-2005

reinforcement that derives, in part, from an elastic web infrastructure that is designed to flex with the elastomeric substrate and conform nicely to a use...

thermoplastic material, the reference as a whole teaches a process for making melt-blow fibers to taid filaments are self-adhering to one mother, without a separate adhesive, and covering at least The Patent Office has not cited any reference demonstrating the use of thermoplastic gasseving on the amount of material. Even though the Close '638 putent uses capillaries to extrude blown process to create in sim a self-supporting, elastic thermoplastic nonwoven web, in which improved tear restance of the elastomer. Since the reference is silent, one of ordinary skill in advantinge when completely or partially embedded in latex substrates, since the reference does rave been obvious for a person of creit ary skill to select a substitute a thermoplastic extrusion not mention employing elestic thermoplastic webs in combination with elastomeric lattices for the art would not have been motivated to combine the references. By suggesting that it would form a sheet that is then laminated with at least one non-clastic web layer (col. 3, lines 51-55). process for a chopped-fiber flocking process is in affect using Applicant's own invention as a E portion of a three-dimensional mold or former other than Applicant's own invention. The primary teaching of the Close \*638 patent relates to increasing the ability of retraction while demonstration of obviousness. Such reasoning is clearly a demonstration of impermissible Close et al. neither teach or describe how improved retraction characteristics can be an nindsight reconstruction.

PAGE 7/8 \* RCVD AT 4/13/2006 11:29:55 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/36 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:770 587 7327 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-10

Lastly, a combination of Wise '158 and Close '558 patents with U.S. Patent No. 5,137,032 (Harmon) does not establish a prima facte case of obviousness with respect to a condom, since Applicant has already shown that Wise '158 and Close '638 do not teach the present invention as claimed, and Harmon alone neither anticipates nor makes the claimed anyention obvious, since it uses cotton microfibers in a manner similar to that of Wise (see col. ince 40-51). Further, the fibrous coating is present only on the surface.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the requirements for a prima facie case of obvicusness has not been ruet, and requests that the Patent Office withdraw the rejection.

Page 7 of 8

Pag= 8 of 8

Appl.cation No.: 10/732,965 (KC 18,502) Response to Office Action mailed 3-9-2006

#### Conclusion

In view of the amendments and remarks, above, Applicant respectfully submits that all of the presently presented claims are in condition for allowance.

Applicant believes that the present Response is timely, but should Applicant be in error, Applicant believes that the present Response is timely, but should Applicant be in error, Applicant respectfully requests the Office grant such time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) as necessary to make this response timely, and hereby authorizes the Office to charge any necessary fee or surcharge with respect to time extension to the Kimberly-Clark Worldw.da, Inc. deposit account number 11-0875. Please direct any questions or comments to Vincent T. Kung at: tel. 770-587-8506

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas G. Triebes et al.

Vincert T. Kung

By

Registration No.: 45,797 Attorney for Applicant(s)

# CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I, Erminia Brown, hereby cert.fy that on April 15, 2006 this document is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (571) 273-8300.

Eminia Brown

Ву:

PAGE 8/8 \* RCVD AT 4/13/2006 11:29:55 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/36 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:770 587 7327 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-10 GF R \*\*